Group (# ):		Title:		Date	: Time:	mins	# Slides:
	CRITERIA	Poor (0-50%) 0 - 5.0	Acceptable (50-65%) 5 - 6.5	Good (65-80%) 6.5 - 8	Outstanding (80-100%) 8 10	Score	Weight Mark
Content	Class repetition, overall scope of topic Terminology & jargon defined? Teaching: explanations, examples Factual accuracy, completeness Questions, understanding of material	<ul> <li>heavy repetition, very broad</li> <li>very little / none</li> <li>very little / none</li> <li>major errors and/or omissions</li> <li>not / incorrectly answered, major gaps</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>some repetition, broad</li> <li>some or not clearly</li> <li>some, unclear</li> <li>some (minor) errors and/or omissions</li> <li>partially answered, some (minor) gaps</li> </ul>	little repetition, relatively focused     most, clearly defined     most, clearly explained     few (minor) errors and/or omissions     adequately answered, few (minor) gaps	<ul> <li>minor repetition, very focused</li> <li>(almost) all terms/jargon clearly defined</li> <li>(almost) all concepts well explained</li> <li>only very minor errors or omissions</li> <li>well answered, very minor gaps</li> </ul>		x 0.8 = 8
Presentation	Timing: length, division of material, pacing Coherence: organization, transitions Appearance: visuals, text, arrangement Speaking: volume, amount of "reading" Engagement: intro, eye-contact, etc Creativity: approach to topic, demos	very short/long, poor division, rushed no ToC, no apparent coherence inconsistent format, small text, "busy" very low, lots of reading from notes/slides none basic PPT, poster, chalk, etc.; no demos	<ul> <li>within 5 mins, fair division / pacing</li> <li>ToC, fair organization &amp; flow</li> <li>plain/basic/std layout, "unbusy"</li> <li>quiet, some reading off notes/slides</li> <li>intro, little engagement/eye-contact</li> <li>single creative element or demo</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>within 3 mins, good division / pacing</li> <li>ToC, good organization &amp; flow</li> <li>beyond basic, some aesthetics</li> <li>clear, minor reading off notes/slides</li> <li>intro, some engagement/eye-contact</li> <li>multiple creative elements or demos</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>within 1 min, excellent division / pacing</li> <li>ToC, excellent organization &amp; flow</li> <li>aesthetics/planning evident throughout</li> <li>varied speaking tones, very minor reading</li> <li>intro, ongoing engagement/eye-contact</li> <li>notably creative/different approach</li> </ul>		x 1.2 = 12
References	Factual referencing, in-situ citations Image referencing, in-situ citations Variety (web vs non-web ), reputability Digital version submitted Factual: / Image:	<ul> <li>none or not separate from images</li> <li>none or not separate from factual</li> <li>all web or Wikipedia</li> <li>no, or unreadable/unviewable format</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>few, not in-situ, not consolidated</li> <li>few, not in-situ, not consolidated</li> <li>all web but varied, non-Wikipedia</li> </ul>	most, some in-situ, partly consolidated     most, some in-situ, partly consolidated     some non-web, varied, non-Wikipedia	<ul> <li>all, all in-situ, consolidated</li> <li>all, all in-situ, consolidated</li> <li>some non-web, many gov/sci/edu</li> <li>yes: ONLINE; PDF; PPTX; DOCX</li> </ul>		x 0.4 =4
Proposal	Specific topic, scope of presentation Specified method(s) of presentation Adhered to proposal in presentation	<ul> <li>no or multiple topics; very broad</li> <li>none</li> <li>major change(s) w/o new proposal</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>poorly defined; somewhat broad</li> <li>vague or incomplete</li> <li>very late major approved changes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>well defined; a little broad</li> <li>specific but generic (eg. "talk")</li> <li>single, timely, major approved change</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>single, specific topic; narrowly defined</li> <li>specific, detailed, complete method(s)</li> <li>no or very minor changes only</li> </ul>		x 0.1 =

COMMENTS: TOTAL MARK:

<u>\_\_</u> = %

GGA/2022.09.04