		Fail	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
	Numerical value	< 5	6	7/8	9/10
Introduction/Crime Description		 ☐ There is no introduction ☐ Purpose of project is not clear. ☐ Crime is not described. 	 □ There is an introduction, but it is not clear or may not be related to the rest of the project. □ Purpose of project stated but may not be clear. □ Crime is described but may not be clear. 	 □ There is a clear introduction to the project. □ Purpose of project is stated. □ Crime is described. 	 □ There is a clear, explicit, introduction to project. □ The purpose of paper explicitly stated. □ Crime is succinctly described, with key details.
Conclusion		☐ Conclusion is missing.	☐ Connection with the rest of the project is surface level only or formulaic.	☐ Ideas in the conclusion adequately connect introduction and body of the project.	☐ The conclusion clearly connects introduction and body of the project with insightful comments/analysis.
Theoretical Analysis		 □ Criminological theory is not outlined. □ There are no references to the textbook. □ There are no examples provided. □ Paper does not follow theoretical evidence, and is missing references to academic references. Papers lacks critical analysis of both the crime and the theory. 	 □ Criminological theory is outlined with little detail, only a few references to the textbook. □ Examples from textbook are provided but may not be clearly connected to the theory. □ Paper follows theoretical evidence, minimally backs up opinion with academic reference. Demonstrate basic critical analysis of both the crime and the theory. 	 □ Criminological theory is outlined with some detail, with references from textbook. □ Relevant examples are used to demonstrate connection to theory. □ Paper follows theoretical evidence, sometimes backs up opinion with academic reference. Demonstrate critical analysis of both the crime and the theory. 	 □ Criminological theory is explicitly outlined, with references utilized from textbook and outside sources. □ Examples are clearly defined, and relevant, and connected to theory. □ Paper follows theoretical evidence, clearly backs up opinion with academic reference. Demonstrate a sound, logical critical analysis of both the crime and the theory.
Scholarly writing	Clearly organizes relevant ideas Structure	□ Ideas are "scattered" □ Project is difficult to follow □ Terms not clearly defined or defined inconsistently □ Major errors in sentence structure result in writing that is incomprehensible □ Numerous spelling errors	 □ May not always be clear. □ Organization of ideas needs improvement □ Terms may not be clearly defined. □ Minor errors in sentence structure impact on comprehensibility of writing □ Minor spelling errors. 	 □ Concise □ Relevant ideas flow well from topic to topic □ Terms mostly clearly defined. □ Minor errors in sentence structure do not impact on comprehensibility of writing □ A few minor spelling or grammatical errors. 	 □ Very clear and concise □ Ideas flow smoothly and are sequentially organized □ Terms clearly defined. □ Extremely strong sentence structure □ No spelling or grammatical errors.
Research; references and citations		 □ Citations & references do not follow APA format □ Insufficient or no citations to support material presented. 	 □ Citations & references may or may not follow APA format □ Insufficient citations to support material presented. 	 □ Citations & references generally follow APA format □ Material mostly supported by necessary citations. 	 □ Citations & references follow APA format □ Material supported by necessary citations.